	Case 2:24-cv-02456-DC-AC Documer	nt 7 Filed 08/18/25 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAJ SINGH,	No. 2:24-cv-02456-DC-AC (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	CITY OF ELK GROVE, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING THIS ACTION
15	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 4)
16		
17	Plaintiff Raj Singh proceeds pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil action. The matter	
18	was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local	
19	Rule 302.	
20	On November 8, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and	
21	recommendations recommending that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed because Defendants	
22	Jonathan Hobbs, Suzanne Kennedy, and Gerard F. Keena ("individual Defendants") are	
23	absolutely immune from suit and Plaintiff fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted	
24	against Defendant City of Elk Grove. (Doc. No. 4.) Further, the magistrate judge found that "the	
25	deficiencies of the complaint cannot be cured by amendment." (Id. at 5.) Accordingly, the	
26	magistrate judge recommended Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed without leave to amend. (Id.)	
27	Those findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff and contained notice that	
28	any objections thereto were to be filed within twenty-one (21) days from the date of service. (Id.	
		1

Case 2:24-cv-02456-DC-AC Document 7 Filed 08/18/25 Page 2 of 2 1 at 4.) On November 26, 2024, Plaintiff filed objections to the pending findings and 2 recommendations. (Doc. No. 5.) Plaintiff's objections do not provide a basis upon which to reject the findings and recommendations.¹ 3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 4 5 de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Plaintiff's 6 objections, the court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the 7 record and by proper analysis. 8 Accordingly, 9 1. The findings and recommendations issued on November 8, 2024 (Doc. No. 4) are 10 ADOPTED in full; Plaintiff's complaint (Doc. No. 1) is DISMISSED without leave to amend; and 11 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 12 3. 13 14 IT IS SO ORDERED. 15 August 18, 2025 Dated: 16 **Dena Coggins** 17 United States District Judge 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 ¹ On November 26, 2024, Plaintiff also filed an unauthorized first amended complaint. (Doc. No. 27

6.) The court has reviewed the allegations in Plaintiff's first amended complaint and concludes

the allegations are insufficient to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

28